

REFERENCES

1. Abou-Khalil, V., Helou, S., Khalifé, E., Chen, M. A., Majumdar, R., & Ogata, H. (2021). Emergency online learning in low-resource settings: Effective student engagement strategies. *Education Sciences, 11*(1), 24.
2. Ainin, S., Naqshbandi, M. M., Moghavvemi, S., & Jaafar, N. I. (2015). Facebook usage, socialization and academic performance. *Computers & Education, 83*, 64–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.12.018>
3. Alghamdi, A., Karpinski, A. C., Lepp, A., & Barkley, J. (2020). Online and face-to-face classroom multitasking and academic performance: Moderated mediation with self-efficacy for self-regulated learning and gender. *Computers in Human Behavior, 102*, 214–222.
4. Alwagait, E., Shahzad, B., & Alim, S. (2015). Impact of social media usage on students academic performance in Saudi Arabia. *Computers in Human Behavior, 51*, 1092–1097.
5. Amez, S., & Baert, S. (2020). Smartphone use and academic performance: A literature review. *International Journal of Educational Research, 103*, 101618.
6. Anders, A. D. (2018). Networked learning with professionals boosts students' self-efficacy for social networking and professional development. *Computers & Education, 127*, 13–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.009>
7. Aristovnik, A., Keržič, D., Ravšelj, D., Tomaževič, N., & Umek, L. (2020). Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on life of higher education students: A global perspective. *Sustainability, 12*(20), 8438.
8. Aslan, S., Alyuz, N., Tanriover, C., Mete, S. E., Okur, E., D'Mello, S. K., & Arslan Esme, A. (2019). Investigating the impact of a real-time, multimodal student engagement analytics technology in authentic classrooms. *Proceedings of the 2019 Chi Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–12.
9. Attard, C., & Holmes, K. (2020). “It gives you that sense of hope”: An exploration of technology use to mediate student engagement with mathematics. *Heliyon, 6*(1), e02945.

10. Ayyildiz, Y., & Tarhan, L. (2015). Development of the self-directed learning skills scale. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 34(6), 663–679.
11. Aziz, M. S., Zain, A. N. M., Samsudin, M. A. B., & Saleh, S. B. (2014). The effects of problem-based learning on self-directed learning skills among physics undergraduates. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 3(1), 126–137.
12. Barnes, K., Marateo, R. C., & Ferris, S. P. (2007). Teaching and learning with the net generation. *Innovate: Journal of Online Education*, 3(4).
13. Bennett, S., Maton, K., & Kervin, L. (2008). The ‘digital natives’ debate: A critical review of the evidence. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 39(5), 775–786.
14. Bond, M. (2020). Facilitating student engagement through the flipped learning approach in K-12: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 151, 103819.
15. Boumosleh, J., & Jaalouk, D. (2018). Smartphone addiction among university students and its relationship with academic performance. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 10(1), 48–59.
16. Bowden, J. L.-H., Tickle, L., & Naumann, K. (2021). The four pillars of tertiary student engagement and success: A holistic measurement approach. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(6), 1207–1224.
17. Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirschi, V., Schuwer, R., Egorov, G., Lambert, S., Al-Freih, M., Pete, J., & Olcott Jr, D. (2020). A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 pandemic: Navigating in a time of uncertainty and crisis. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 15(1), 1–126.
18. Caffarella, R. S., & O’Donnell, J. M. (1987). Self-directed adult learning: A critical paradigm revisited. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 37(4), 199–211.
19. Candy, P. C. (2004). *Linking thinking: Self-directed learning in the digital age*. Department of Education, Science and Training Canberra, Australia.
20. Carini, R. M., Kuh, G. D., & Klein, S. P. (2006). Student engagement and student learning: Testing the linkages. *Research in Higher Education*, 47(1), 1–32.

21. Carmona-Halty, M., Salanova, M., Llorens, S., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2021). Linking positive emotions and academic performance: The mediated role of academic psychological capital and academic engagement. *Current Psychology, 40*(6), 2938–2947.
22. Chang, C.-T., Tu, C.-S., & Hajiyev, J. (2019). Integrating academic type of social media activity with perceived academic performance: A role of task-related and non-task-related compulsive Internet use. *Computers & Education, 139*, 157–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.05.011>
23. Chau, K. Y., Law, K. M., & Tang, Y. M. (2021). Impact of self-directed learning and educational technology readiness on synchronous E-learning. *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (JOEUC), 33*(6), 1–20.
24. Chen, C.-H., Chen, K.-Z., & Tsai, H.-F. (2021). Did Self-Directed Learning Curriculum Guidelines Change Taiwanese High-School Students' Self-Directed Learning Readiness? *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 1*–18.
25. Chen, Q., & Yan, Z. (2016a). Does multitasking with mobile phones affect learning? A review. *Computers in Human Behavior, 54*, 34–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.047>
26. Chen, Q., & Yan, Z. (2016b). Does multitasking with mobile phones affect learning? A review. *Computers in Human Behavior, 54*, 34–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.047>
27. Chen, S.-Y., & Tzeng, J.-Y. (2010). College female and male heavy internet users' profiles of practices and their academic grades and psychosocial adjustment. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 13*(3), 257–262.
28. Chiu, T. K. (2021). Student engagement in K-12 online learning amid COVID-19: A qualitative approach from a self-determination theory perspective. *Interactive Learning Environments, 1*–14.
29. Chiu, T. K. (2022). Applying the self-determination theory (SDT) to explain student engagement in online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 54*(sup1), S14–S30.

30. Curran, T., & Standage, M. (2017). Psychological needs and the quality of student engagement in physical education: Teachers as key facilitators. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 36(3), 262–276.
31. Drain, T. S., Grier, L. E., & Sun, W. (2012). Is the growing use of electronic devices beneficial to academic performance? Results from archival data and a survey. *Issues in Information Systems*, 13(1), 225–231.
32. Dukic, Z., Chiu, D. K. W., & Lo, P. (2015). How useful are smartphones for learning? Perceptions and practices of Library and Information Science students from Hong Kong and Japan. *Library Hi Tech*, 33(4), 545–561. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHT-02-2015-0015>
33. Dunn, T. J., & Kennedy, M. (2019a). Technology Enhanced Learning in higher education; motivations, engagement and academic achievement. *Computers & Education*, 137, 104–113.
34. Dunn, T. J., & Kennedy, M. (2019b). Technology Enhanced Learning in higher education; motivations, engagement and academic achievement. *Computers & Education*, 137, 104–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.04.004>
35. Fahim, S. M., Zaidi, S. B. I., Rehman, A., Hussain, S. T., Khan, U. A., & Hasan, A. A. (2021). Measuring the Impact of Online Education on Academic Performance Amid COVID-19 with the Moderating Effect of Instructor. *Int J Edu Sci*, 33(1–3), 31–43.
36. Fahnoe, C., & Mishra, P. (2013). Do 21st century learning environments support self-directed learning? Middle school students' response to an intentionally designed learning environment. *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference*, 3131–3139.
37. Farrell, O., & Brunton, J. (2020). A balancing act: A window into online student engagement experiences. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), 1–19.
38. Felisoni, D. D., & Godoi, A. S. (2018). Cell phone usage and academic performance: An experiment. *Computers & Education*, 117, 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.10.006>

39. Fisher, R., Perényi, Á., & Birdthistle, N. (2021). The positive relationship between flipped and blended learning and student engagement, performance and satisfaction. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 22(2), 97–113.
40. Fonseca, D., Martí, N., Redondo, E., Navarro, I., & Sánchez, A. (2014). Relationship between student profile, tool use, participation, and academic performance with the use of Augmented Reality technology for visualized architecture models. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31, 434–445.
41. Fuchs, T., & Wößmann, L. (2004). *What accounts for international differences in student performance?* CESifo working paper.
42. Gillen-O’Neel, C. (2021). Sense of belonging and student engagement: A daily study of first-and continuing-generation college students. *Research in Higher Education*, 62(1), 45–71
43. Glenn, J. M. (2000). Teaching the Net generation. *Business Education Forum*, 54.
44. González, J. A., Giuliano, M., & Pérez, S. N. (2022). Measuring the effectiveness of online problem solving for improving academic performance in a probability course. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1–21.
45. Gosper, M., Malfory, J., McKenzie, J., & Rankine, L. (2011). Students’ engagement with technologies: Implications for university students. *Ascilite*, 4.
46. Groccia, J. E. (2018). What is student engagement? *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2018(154), 11–20.
47. Hampton, K. N., Goulet, L. S., Rainie, L., & Purcell, K. (2011). *Social networking sites and our lives* (Vol. 1). Pew Internet & American Life Project Washington, DC.
48. Han, I., & Shin, W. S. (2016). The use of a mobile learning management system and academic achievement of online students. *Computers & Education*, 102, 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.07.003>
49. Hancock, V., & Betts, F. (2002). Back to the future preparing learners for academic success in 2004. *Learning and Leading with Technology*, 29(7), 10–13.

50. Hawi, N. S., & Samaha, M. (2016). To excel or not to excel: Strong evidence on the adverse effect of smartphone addiction on academic performance. *Computers & Education*, 98, 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.03.007>
51. Hay, L. E. (2000). Educating the net generation. *School Administrator*, 57(54), 6–10.
52. Heflin, H., Shewmaker, J., & Nguyen, J. (2017). Impact of mobile technology on student attitudes, engagement, and learning. *Computers & Education*, 107, 91–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.01.006>
53. Heilporn, G., Lakhal, S., & Bélisle, M. (2021). An examination of teachers' strategies to foster student engagement in blended learning in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 1–25.
54. Henderson, M., Selwyn, N., Finger, G., & Aston, R. (2015). Students' everyday engagement with digital technology in university: Exploring patterns of use and 'usefulness.' *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 37(3), 308–319.
55. Hunley, S. A., Krise, J., Rich, T., & Schell, C. (2005). ADOLESCENT COMPUTER USE. *Adolescence*, 40(158).
56. Hwang, G.-J., Lai, C.-L., & Wang, S.-Y. (2015). Seamless flipped learning: A mobile technology-enhanced flipped classroom with effective learning strategies. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 2(4), 449–473.
57. Iftene, A., & Trandabăț, D. (2018). Enhancing the Attractiveness of Learning through Augmented Reality. *Procedia Computer Science*, 126, 166–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.07.220>
58. Jackson, L. A., Von Eye, A., Witt, E. A., Zhao, Y., & Fitzgerald, H. E. (2011). A longitudinal study of the effects of Internet use and videogame playing on academic performance and the roles of gender, race and income in these relationships. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(1), 228–239.
59. Jacobsen, W. C., & Forste, R. (2011). The wired generation: Academic and social outcomes of electronic media use among university students. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 14(5), 275–280.

60. Johnson, S., & Radhakrishnan, N. (n.d.). *ACADEMIC USE OF SMART PHONES AMONG THE STUDENTS OF BUSINESS SCHOOLS IN UAE - A STUDY*. 6.
61. Junco, R. (2012). The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities, and student engagement. *Computers & Education*, 58(1), 162–171.
62. Junco, R., & Cotten, S. R. (2011). Perceived academic effects of instant messaging use. *Computers & Education*, 56(2), 370–378.
63. Karamti, C. (2016). Measuring the impact of ICTs on academic performance: Evidence from higher education in Tunisia. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 48(4), 322–337.
64. Karatas, K., & Arpaci, I. (2021). The role of self-directed learning, metacognition, and 21st century skills predicting the readiness for online learning. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(3).
65. Kennedy, G., Dalgarno, B., Bennett, S., Judd, T., Gray, K., & Chang, R. (2008). Immigrants and natives: Investigating differences between staff and students' use of technology. *Hello! Where Are You in the Landscape of Educational Technology*, 484–492.
66. Khiat, H. (2015). Measuring self-directed learning: A diagnostic tool for adult learners. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 12(2), 2.
67. Kim, D., Jung, E., Yoon, M., Chang, Y., Park, S., Kim, D., & Demir, F. (2021). Exploring the structural relationships between course design factors, learner commitment, self-directed learning, and intentions for further learning in a self-paced MOOC. *Computers & Education*, 166, 104171.
68. Kirschner, P. A., & Karpinski, A. C. (2010). Facebook® and academic performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(6), 1237–1245.
69. Kuh, G. D. (2001). Assessing what really matters to student learning inside the national survey of student engagement. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 33(3), 10–17.

70. Kumar, J., Singh, A. K., & Buyya, R. (2021). Self directed learning based workload forecasting model for cloud resource management. *Information Sciences*, 543, 345–366.
71. Laird, T. F. N., & Kuh, G. D. (2005). Student Experiences With Information Technology And Their Relationship To Other Aspects Of Student Engagement. *Research in Higher Education*, 46(2), 211–233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-004-1600-y>
72. Lepp, A., Barkley, J. E., & Karpinski, A. C. (2014). The relationship between cell phone use, academic performance, anxiety, and satisfaction with life in college students. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31, 343–350.
73. Li, H., Majumdar, R., Chen, M.-R. A., & Ogata, H. (2021). Goal-oriented active learning (GOAL) system to promote reading engagement, self-directed learning behavior, and motivation in extensive reading. *Computers & Education*, 171, 104239.
74. Lin, C.-Y., Chen, C.-J., Liu, Y.-H., Chai, H.-C., Lin, C.-W., Huang, Y.-M., Chen, C.-W., & Lin, C.-C. (2015). Integrating Motion-Capture Augmented Reality Technology as an Interactive Program for Children. *International Conference on Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction*, 149–156.
75. Mahdy, M. A. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the academic performance of veterinary medical students. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7, 732.
76. Malan, S. B., & Ndlovu, M. (2014). Introducing problem-based learning (PBL) into a foundation programme to develop self-directed learning skills. *South African Journal of Education*, 34(1).
77. Malik, A., Dhir, A., Kaur, P., & Johri, A. (2020). Correlates of social media fatigue and academic performance decrement: A large cross-sectional study. *Information Technology & People*.
78. Manwaring, K. C., Larsen, R., Graham, C. R., Henrie, C. R., & Halverson, L. R. (2017). Investigating student engagement in blended learning settings using experience sampling and structural equation modeling. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 35, 21–33.
79. Mehdinezhad, V. (2011). First Year Students' Engagement at the University. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 3(1).

80. Moghavvemi, S., Sulaiman, A., Aziz, A. A., & Wai, P. S. (2017). The impact of Facebook usage on academic performance. *2017 International Conference on Research and Innovation in Information Systems (ICRIIS)*, 1–5.
81. Morris, T. H. (2019). Self-directed learning: A fundamental competence in a rapidly changing world. *International Review of Education*, *65*(4), 633–653. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-019-09793-2>
82. Morris, T. H., & Rohs, M. (2021). The potential for digital technology to support self-directed learning in formal education of children: A scoping review. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1–14.
83. Nayak, J. K. (2018). Relationship among smartphone usage, addiction, academic performance and the moderating role of gender: A study of higher education students in India. *Computers & Education*, *123*, 164–173.
84. Oblinger, D. G., & Hagner, P. (2005). Seminar on educating the Net Generation. *EDUCAUSE, Tempe, AZ, August*.
85. Olivier, E., Galand, B., Morin, A. J., & Hospel, V. (2021). Need-supportive teaching and student engagement in the classroom: Comparing the additive, synergistic, and global contributions. *Learning and Instruction*, *71*, 101389.
86. Paretta, L. T., & Catalano, A. (2013). What students really do in the library: An observational study. *The Reference Librarian*, *54*(2), 157–167.
87. Parsons, J., & Taylor, L. (2011). Improving student engagement. *Current Issues in Education*, *14*(1).
88. Quin, D. (2017). Longitudinal and contextual associations between teacher–student relationships and student engagement: A systematic review. *Review of Educational Research*, *87*(2), 345–387.
89. Ramli, N., Muljono, P., & Afendi, F. M. (2018). External Factors, Internal Factors and Self-Directed Learning Readiness. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, *5*(1), 37–42.
90. Rands, M. L., & Gansemer-Topf, A. (2017). “The room itself is active”: How classroom design impacts student engagement. *Journal of Learning Spaces*, *6*(1).

91. Reese Bomhold, C. (2013). Educational use of smart phone technology: A survey of mobile phone application use by undergraduate university students. *Program*, 47(4), 424–436. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PROG-01-2013-0003>
92. Rosen, L. D., Mark Carrier, L., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). Facebook and texting made me do it: Media-induced task-switching while studying. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3), 948–958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.12.001>
93. Rosen, L. D., Whaling, K., Carrier, L. M., Cheever, N. A., & Rökkum, J. (2013). The Media and Technology Usage and Attitudes Scale: An empirical investigation. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(6), 2501–2511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.06.006>
94. Rudd, G., Meissel, K., & Meyer, F. (2021). Measuring academic resilience in quantitative research: A systematic review of the literature. *Educational Research Review*, 34, 100402.
95. Sabbah, H., Khamis, R., Zorkot, D., Sabbah, S., Droubi, N., & Sabbah, I. (2019). The social media and technology addiction and its associated factors among university students in Lebanon using the Media and Technology Usage and Attitudes Scale (MTUAS). *Journal of Computer and Communications*, 7(11), 88–106.
96. Sana, F., Weston, T., & Cepeda, N. J. (2013). Laptop multitasking hinders classroom learning for both users and nearby peers. *Computers & Education*, 62, 24–31.
97. Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(4), 701–716.
98. Schindler, L. A., Burkholder, G. J., Morad, O. A., & Marsh, C. (2017). Computer-based technology and student engagement: A critical review of the literature. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 14(1), 1–28.
99. Schnitzler, K., Holzberger, D., & Seidel, T. (2021). All better than being disengaged: Student engagement patterns and their relations to academic self-concept and achievement. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 36(3), 627–652.

100. Şenyuva, E., & Kaya, H. (2014). Effect self directed learning readiness of nursing students of the web based learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 152, 386–392.
101. Siritwongs, P. (2015). Developing students' learning ability by dint of self-directed learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197, 2074–2079.
102. Smallhorn, M. (2017). The flipped classroom: A learning model to increase student engagement not academic achievement. *Student Success*, 8(2), 43–53.
103. Smith, B. I., Bührmann, J. H., & Chimedza, C. (2021). Measuring treatment effects of online videos on academic performance using difference-in-differences estimations. *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 32(3), 111–121.
104. Sriarunrasmee, J., Techataweewan, W., & Mebusaya, R. P. (2015). Blended learning supporting self-directed learning and communication skills of Srinakharinwirot University's first year students. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197, 1564–1569.
105. Strati, A. D., Schmidt, J. A., & Maier, K. S. (2017). Perceived challenge, teacher support, and teacher obstruction as predictors of student engagement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 109(1), 131.
106. Subrahmanyam, K., Greenfield, P., Kraut, R., & Gross, E. (2001). The impact of computer use on children's and adolescents' development. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 22(1), 7–30.
107. Sumuer, E. (2018). Factors related to college students' self-directed learning with technology. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 34(4).
108. Tarantino, K., McDonough, J., & Hua, M. (2013). Effects of student engagement with social media on student learning: A review of literature. *The Journal of Technology in Student Affairs*, 1(8), 1–8.
109. Tiwari, P. (2020). *Measuring the Impact of Students' Attitude towards Adoption of Online Classes during COVID 19: Integrating UTAUT Model with Perceived Cost*. 8374, 10.
110. Toquero, C. M. (2020). Challenges and opportunities for higher education amid the COVID-19 pandemic: The Philippine context. *Pedagogical Research*, 5(4).

111. Tullis, J. G., & Benjamin, A. S. (2011). On the effectiveness of self-paced learning. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *64*(2), 109–118.
112. Uzun, A. M., & Kilis, S. (2019). Does persistent involvement in media and technology lead to lower academic performance? Evaluating media and technology use in relation to multitasking, self-regulation and academic performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *90*, 196–203.
113. Wentworth, D. K., & Middleton, J. H. (2014). Technology use and academic performance. *Computers & Education*, *78*, 306–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.06.012>
114. Williamson, S. N. (2007). Development of a self-rating scale of self-directed learning. *Nurse Researcher*, *14*(2).
115. Woessmann, L., & Fuchs, T. (2004). Computers and student learning: Bivariate and multivariate evidence on the availability and use of computers at home and at school. *Available at SSRN 619101*.
116. Zepke, N., & Leach, L. (2010). Improving student engagement: Ten proposals for action. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, *11*(3), 167–177.